

Letter of Findings: 42-20200415
International Fuel Tax Agreement (IFTA) Penalty
For the Year 2016

NOTICE: IC § 6-8.1-3-3.5 and IC § 4-22-7-7 require the publication of this document in the Indiana Register. This document provides the general public with information about the Department's official position concerning a specific set of facts and issues. This document is effective on its date of publication and remains in effect until the date it is superseded or deleted by the publication of another document in the Indiana Register. The "Holding" section of this document is provided for the convenience of the reader and is not part of the analysis contained in this Letter of Findings.

HOLDING

Despite Indiana Motor Carrier's failure to document and maintain fuel transactions and distance records required under the IFTA, the Department agreed with Motor Carrier that its failure to do so was not "willful neglect" and that Motor Carrier's request to abate the penalty should be granted.

ISSUE

I. International Fuel Tax Agreement - Penalty Assessment.

Authority: IC § 6-6-4.1-4; IC § 6-6-4.1-14; IC § 6-6-4.1-20; IC § 6-6-4.1-24; IC § 6-8.1-3-14; IC § 6-8.1-5-4; IC § 6-8.1-5-1; IC § 6-8.1-10-2.1; IFTA Articles of Agreement, § R1210 (2015); IFTA Articles of Agreement, § R1220.100 (2015); IFTA Procedures Manual, § P510 (2015); IFTA Procedures Manual, § P530 (2015); IFTA Procedures Manual, § P530.100 (2015); IFTA Procedures Manual, § P530.100 (2013); IFTA Procedures Manual, § P540.100 (2015); IFTA Procedures Manual § P550 (2015); *Dept. of State Revenue v. Caterpillar, Inc.*, 15 N.E.3d 579 (Ind. 2014); *Indiana Dept. of State Revenue v. Rent-A-Center East, Inc.*, 963 N.E.2d 463 (Ind. 2012); *Lafayette Square Amoco, Inc. v. Indiana Dept. of State Revenue*, 867 N.E.2d 289 (Ind. Tax Ct. 2007); [45 IAC 15-11-2](#); International Fuel Tax Agreement, <https://www.fin.gov.on.ca/en/tax/ifta/>.

Taxpayer protests the assessed penalty.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

Taxpayer is a trucking company that travels through Indiana. Further, Taxpayer is a lead logistics provider that also offers freight management, transportation services, distribution, and freight brokerage services to their customers.

The Indiana Department of Revenue ("Department") conducted an IFTA audit, which resulted in the assessment of additional International Fuel Tax Association ("IFTA") taxes in both quarter one and quarter four of 2016. Along with the assessment of the tax, the Department also imposed penalty and interest amounts. Taxpayer agreed that the tax assessment was appropriate but disagreed with the penalty assessment. Taxpayer submitted a protest to that effect. In its protest submission, Taxpayer asked the Department to issue a "[f]inal determination without a hearing." As requested, no hearing was conducted and this Letter of Findings results from review of the documentation and analysis provided by the Taxpayer along with review of the Department's records.

I. International Fuel Tax Agreement - Penalty Assessment.

DISCUSSION

The Department conducted a fuel tax audit of Taxpayer's records and determined that Taxpayer owed additional 2016 IFTA fuel tax. The Department's audit report noted that Taxpayer lacked internal controls regarding maintaining distance and fuel records. Taxpayer agreed with the IFTA assessment and paid the Department accordingly. However, Taxpayer protest the assessed penalty.

As a threshold issue, it is Taxpayer's responsibility to establish that the proposed penalty assessment is incorrect. As stated in IC § 6-8.1-5-1(c), "The notice of proposed assessment is prima facie evidence that the [D]epartment's claim for the unpaid tax is valid. The burden of proving that the proposed assessment is wrong rests with the person against whom the proposed assessment is made." *Indiana Dept. of State Revenue v.*

Rent-A-Center East, Inc., 963 N.E.2d 463, 466 (Ind. 2012); *Lafayette Square Amoco, Inc. v. Indiana Dept. of State Revenue*, 867 N.E.2d 289, 292 (Ind. Tax Ct. 2007).

IFTA is an agreement between various United States jurisdictions and certain Canadian provinces allowing for the equitable apportionment of previously collected motor carrier fuel taxes. International Fuel Tax Agreement, <https://www.fin.gov.on.ca/en/tax/ifta/> (last visited February 25, 2021). The agreement's stated goal is to simplify the taxing, licensing, and reporting requirements of interstate motor carriers such as Taxpayer. The agreement itself is not a statute but was implemented in Indiana pursuant to the authority specifically granted under IC § 6-6-4.1-14(a) and IC § 6-8.1-3-14.

Taxpayer operated trucks in Indiana and other IFTA member jurisdictions. As such, it operated on Indiana highways and consumed motor fuel while on those highways. Therefore, the Taxpayer was subject to Indiana motor carrier fuel taxes under the IFTA. IC § 6-6-4.1-4(a).

Tax assessments of motor carrier fuel tax under IFTA are presumed to be valid. IC § 6-6-4.1-24(b). In addressing any challenges to those assessments, the taxpayer bears the burden of proving that any assessment is incorrect. *Id.* The taxpayer has a duty to maintain books and records and present them to the Department for review upon the Department's request. IC § 6-6-4.1-20; IC § 6-8.1-5-4(a).

Taxpayer, as an IFTA licensee, is subject to the record-keeping rules of IFTA. According to the IFTA Procedures Manual, § P530 (2015) in part, imposes upon licensees the responsibility to maintain verifiable mileage and fuel purchase records:

The records maintained by a licensee under this article shall be adequate to **enable the base jurisdiction to verify the distances traveled and fuel purchased by the licensee** for the period under audit and to evaluate the accuracy of the licensee's distance and fuel accounting systems for its fleet. The adequacy of a licensee's records is to be ascertained by the records' sufficiency and appropriateness. Sufficiency is a measure of the quantity of records produced; that is, whether there are enough records to substantially document the operations of the licensee's fleet. The appropriateness of the records is a measure of their quality; that is, whether the records contain the kind of information an auditor needs to audit the licensee for the purposes stated in the preceding paragraph. Records that are sufficient and appropriate are to be deemed adequate.

(Emphasis added).

The IFTA Procedures Manual at § P550.100 (2015) imposes upon IFTA licensees the responsibility of maintaining and then providing verifiable fuel purchase and fuel consumption records.

The licensee shall maintain complete records of all motor fuel purchased, received, or used in the conduct of its business, and on request, produce these records for audit. The records shall be adequate for the auditor to verify the total amount of fuel placed into the licensee's qualified motor vehicles, by fuel type.

One of those record keeping requirements is maintaining specific records such as fuel receipts per § P550 and detailed distance records with supporting documentation per § P540 of the IFTA Procedures Manual (2015). According to the IFTA Procedures Manual, § P510 (2015) provides in part that:

A licensee shall retain the records of its operations to which IFTA reporting requirements apply for a period of four years following the date the IFTA tax return for such operations was due or was filed, whichever is later, plus any period covered by waivers or jeopardy assessments. A licensee must preserve all fuel and distance records for the period covered by the quarterly tax returns for any periods under audit in accordance with the laws of the base jurisdiction.

The Procedures Manual allows licensees to maintain these records in various ways. However, Taxpayer does not disagree the third-party's mileage tracking records were deficient. In such cases, in the absence of a functional, verifiable "tracking system," IFTA Procedures Manual, § P540.100 (2015), provides:

Distance records produced by a means other than a vehicle-tracking system that substantially document the fleet's operations and contain the following elements shall be accepted by the base jurisdiction as adequate under this article:

.005 the beginning and ending dates of the trip to which the records pertain

- .010 the origin and destination of the trip
- .015 the route of travel
- .020 the beginning and ending reading from the odometer, hubodometer, engine control module (ECM), or any similar device for the trip
- .025 the total distance of the trip
- .030 the distance traveled in each jurisdiction during the trip
- .035 the vehicle identification number or vehicle unit number

In the absence or failure to provide mileage and fuel records, IFTA Procedures Manual, § P530.100 (2015) states that: "Failure to maintain records upon which the licensee's true liability may be determined or to make records available upon proper request may result in an assessment as stated in IFTA Articles of Agreement Section R1200."

IFTA Procedures Manual, § P530.100 (2015) goes on to provide that: "Failure to maintain records upon which the licensee's true liability may be determined or to make records available upon proper request may result in an assessment as stated in IFTA Articles of Agreement Section R1200."

IFTA Articles of Agreement, § R1210 (2015) in relevant part, states that:

- .100 In the event that any licensee
 - .005 fails, neglects, or refuses to file a tax return when due;
 - .010 fails to make records available upon written request by the base jurisdiction; or
 - .015 fails to maintain records from which the licensee's true liability may be determined, the base jurisdiction shall proceed in accordance with .200 and .300.
- .200 On the basis of the best information available to it, the base jurisdiction shall:
 - .005 determine the tax liability of the licensee for each jurisdiction and/or
 - .010 revoke or suspend the license of any licensee who fails, neglects or refuses to file a tax report with full payment of tax when due, in accordance with the base jurisdiction's laws.

Both .200.005 and .200.010 may be utilized by the base jurisdiction. For purposes of assessment pursuant to .100.010 or .100.015, the base jurisdiction must issue a written request for records giving the licensee thirty (30) days to provide the records or to issue a notice of insufficient records.

Exercising its authority and responsibility as the Taxpayer's chosen base jurisdiction, the Department assessed the additional IFTA tax and the protested penalty amount.

IFTA Articles of Agreement, § R1220.00 (2015) provides as follows:

- .100 The base jurisdiction may assess the licensee a penalty of \$50.00 or 10 percent of delinquent taxes, whichever is greater, for failing to file a tax return, filing a late tax return, underpaying taxes due.
- .200 Penalties paid by the licensee shall be retained by the base jurisdiction.
- .300 Nothing in the Agreement limits the authority of a base jurisdiction to impose any other penalties provided by the laws of the base jurisdiction.

IC § 6-8.1-10-2.1(a)(3) requires that a ten-percent penalty be imposed if the tax deficiency results from the taxpayer's negligence. IC § 6-8.1-10-2.1(a)(2) requires a ten-percent penalty if the taxpayer "fails to pay the full amount of tax shown on the person's return on or before the due date for the return or payment."

IC § 6-8.1-10-2.1(d) states that, "If a person subject to the penalty imposed under this section can show that the failure to . . . pay the full amount of tax shown on the person's return . . . or pay the deficiency determined by the department was due to reasonable cause and not due to willful neglect, the department shall wave the penalty."

Departmental regulation [45 IAC 15-11-2](#)(b) defines negligence as "the failure to use such reasonable care, caution, or diligence as would be expected of an ordinary reasonable taxpayer." Negligence is to "be determined on a case-by-case basis according to the facts and circumstances of each taxpayer." Id.

Departmental regulation [45 IAC 15-11-2](#)(c) requires that in order to establish "reasonable cause," the taxpayer must demonstrate that it "exercised ordinary business care and prudence in carrying out or failing to carry out a duty giving rise to the penalty imposed"

The Department confirms that Taxpayer timely filed all returns for the IFTA taxes and paid the full amount of taxes due by the statutory due date. Moreover, it was Taxpayer's first time being audited by the Department. Taxpayer

is incorporating the Department's "Recommended Record Keeping Improvements" for IFTA reporting processes. There is no evidence that Taxpayer intended to defraud the Department, nor that Taxpayer willfully failed to ensure accurate distance and fuel records.

Taxpayer has met its burden under IC § 6-8.1-5-1(c) of establishing that its reporting deficiencies did not constitute "willful neglect." Based on a "case-by-case" analysis and after reviewing "the facts and circumstances of each taxpayer" the Department agrees that the ten-percent negligence penalty should be abated.

FINDING

Taxpayer's protest is sustained.

February 25, 2021

Posted: 04/28/2021 by Legislative Services Agency
An [html](#) version of this document.